

United States Patent and Trademark Office

A

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/780,308		02/09/2001	Fu-Tai Shih	10005922-1	6695	
22879	7590	10/19/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
HEWLETT PACKARD COMPANY				BAYARD, DJENANE M		
)4 E. HARMONY R OPERTY ADMINIS		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		80527-2400		2141		

DATE MAILED: 10/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/780,308	SHIH ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Djenane M. Bayard	2141	
pears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address	
DATE OF THIS COMMUN 136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC e, cause the application to become	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
July 2005.		
s action is non-final.		
ance except for formal ma	tters, prosecution as to the merits is	
	•	
	·	
awn from consideration.		
or election requirement.		
ier.		
	by the Examiner.	
Examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.	
nts have been received. nts have been received in ority documents have bee au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage	
Paper N	o(s)/Mail Date	
	Examiner Djenane M. Bayard Pears on the cover sheet was a part of THIS COMMUN (136(a)). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO e, cause the application to become A regular of this communication, even in the state of this communication, even in the state of this communication. Pully 2005. Is action is non-final. The action of the certain and the state of the drawing (s) be held in abeyond the state of the state	Examiner Djenane M. Bayard 2141 pears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. 35(a). In no event, however, may a reply be limely filed will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). ng date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any Indiv 2005. Is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the men'ts is Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Indiv 2005. Indiv 2005

Application/Control Number: 09/780,308

Art Unit: 2141

DETAILED ACTION

1. This is in response to amendment filed on 7/20/05 in which claims 1-8 are pending.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-8 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,317837 to Kenworthy.
- a. As per claim 1 and 5, Kenworthy teaches an admissions control system for a host site comprising a trap that withholds from a request processor incomplete HTTP requests (See col. 7, lines 59-65, If the necessary information is not included in the data packet, the data packet is deemed incorrectly) and that retires incomplete HTTP requests to avoid exceeding a storage limitation (See col. 7, lines 64-635 if the incoming data packet header is determined to be incomplete, the data packet is immediately discarded)

- Application/Control Number: 09/780,308 Page 3

Art Unit: 2141

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,317837 to Kenworthy and further in view of U.S. Patent Application No. 2002/0083117 to Goddard.
- a. As per claim 2, Kenworthy teaches the claimed invention as described above. However Kenworthy fails to teach a deferral manager, said trap sending complete HTTP requests to said deferral manager, said deferral manager sending some of said complete HTTP requests to said request processor and responding with deferral messages to some others of said complete HTTP requests.

Goddard teaches said trap sending complete HTTP requests to said deferral manager, said deferral manager sending some of said complete HTTP requests to said request processor and responding with deferral messages to some others of said complete HTTP requests (See page 1, paragraph [0010]).

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate said trap sending complete HTTP requests to said deferral manager, said deferral manager sending some of said complete HTTP requests to said request processor and responding with deferral messages to some others of said complete HTTP requests as taught by Goddard in the claimed invention of Kenworthy in order to provide quality, reliability and

Application/Control Number: 09/780,308

Art Unit: 2141

timeliness assurances (See page 1, paragraph [0003]).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 3, 6 and 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,317837 to Kenworthy in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,823380 to Nace et al.
- a. As per claims 3 and 6, Kenworthy teaches the claimed invention as described above. However, Kenworthy fails to teach wherein said trap includes at least one queue and a queue manager, said queue manager storing incomplete HTTP requests in said queue, said queue manager retiring a previously stored recent incomplete HTTP request when necessary to make room for a new incomplete HTTP request.

Nace et al teaches at least one queue and a queue manager, said queue manager storing incomplete HTTP requests in said queue, said queue manager retiring a previously stored recent incomplete HTTP request when necessary to make room for a new incomplete HTTP request (See col. 2, lines 5-30)

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate at least one queue and a queue manager, said queue manager storing incomplete HTTP requests in said queue, said queue manager retiring a previously stored recent

Application/Control Number: 09/780,308 Page 5

Art Unit: 2141

HTTP request when necessary to make room for a new HTTP request as taught by Nace et al in the claimed invention of Kenworthy in order to read and process the requests based upon a rate determined by the scheduler (See col. 5, lines 12-16).

b. As per claim 7, Kenworthy teaches the claimed invention as described above. Furthermore, Kenworthy teaches storing a first incomplete HTTP request; and retiring a previously stored incomplete HTTP request when necessary to make room for said first incomplete HTTP request (See page 5, paragraph [0041]). However, Trcka et al failed to teach wherein the request are stored in a queue.

Nace et al teaches wherein the requests are stored in a queue (See col. 2, lines 5-30).

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate wherein the request are stored in a queue as taught by Nace et al in the claimed invention of Kenworthy in order to read and process the requests based upon a rate determined by the scheduler (See col. 5, lines 12-16).

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 4 and 8 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 09/780,308 Page 6

Art Unit: 2141

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Djenane M. Bayard whose telephone number is (571) 272-3878.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday- Friday 5:30 AM- 3:00 PM...

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rupal Dharia can be reached on (571) 272-3880. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Djenane Bayard

Patent Examiner

RUPAL DHARIA
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER